

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To implement sound financial practices and fiscal controls as demonstrated by having no repeat legislative audit findings in the department's biennial audits.**

**Strategy:**     **Maintain current job descriptions and procedures manuals on all positions in the program.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

x	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
		Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
	x	Impact on other strategies considered
	x	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x	Authorization exists
	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	x	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
	x	Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x	Already ongoing
	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To implement sound financial practices and fiscal controls as demonstrated by having no repeat legislative audit findings in the department's biennial audits.**

**Strategy:**     **Cooperate with the department's internal auditor and other auditors to develop and implement policies and procedures and corrective actions.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To implement sound financial practices and fiscal controls as demonstrated by having no repeat legislative audit findings in the department's biennial audits.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct in-service training for all functions regulated and managed by the program**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
	x	Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x	Authorization exists
	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x	Resource needs identified
x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x	Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x	Already ongoing
	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **Ensure that all programs in the department are provided support services which enable them to accomplish their goals and objectives.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct process evaluations to improve the flow and timeliness of work products.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **Ensure that all programs in the department are provided support services which enable them to accomplish their goals and objectives.**

**Strategy:**     **Maintain an adequate level of staffing.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
| x | Resource needs identified                          |
| x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      Management and Finance

**Objective:**    Ensure that all programs in the department are provided support services which enable them to accomplish their goals and objectives.

**Strategy:**      Educate staff on the department's customer service plan.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
	x	Impact on other strategies considered
	x	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x	Authorization exists
	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	x	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x	Already ongoing
	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Management and Finance

**Objective:** To achieve at least a 7-day turnaround on processing of commercial license and boat registrations received by mail.

**Strategy:** Enhance staff recruitment and retention.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
| x | Resource needs identified                          |
| x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      Management and Finance

**Objective:**    To achieve at least a 7-day turnaround on processing of commercial license and boat registrations received by mail.

**Strategy:**     Utilize information technology applications to maximize productivity.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | Resource needs identified                          |
| x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To provide opportunities for the public to receive information about the department and about resource management through news releases and features and publication of the *Conservationist Magazine*.**

**Strategy:**     **Maintain a qualified, professional staff of employees**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To provide opportunities for the public to receive information about the department and about resource management through news releases and features and publication of the *Conservationist Magazine*.**

**Strategy:**     **Promote departmental activities and events through media opportunity.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To provide opportunities for the public to receive information about the department and about resource management through news releases and features and publication of the *Conservationist Magazine*.**

**Strategy:**     **Publish and distribute biweekly newsletter.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To provide opportunities for the public to receive information about the department and about resource management through news releases and features and publication of the *Conservationist Magazine*.**

**Strategy:**     **Respond to media inquiries.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To provide opportunities for the public to receive information about the department and about resource management through news releases and features and publication of the *Conservationist Magazine*.**

**Strategy:**     **Publish and distribute six issues per year of the Conservationist Magazine**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To earn 5% reduction of liability insurance premiums by successfully passing the State Loss Prevention Audit.**

**Strategy:**      **Ensure safety coordinators in all department facilities are adequately trained.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |                            |   |
|---|----------------------------|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget |   |
|   | x                          | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
|   | x                          | Means of Finance identified                     |
|   | x                          | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To earn 5% reduction of liability insurance premiums by successfully passing the State Loss Prevention Audit.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct and document quarterly safety meetings in all applicable facilities.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|   | x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
|   | x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | x | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x |   | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Management and Finance**

**Objective:**    **To earn 5% reduction of liability insurance premiums by successfully passing the State Loss Prevention Audit.**

**Strategy:**     **Establish and distribute written policies and procedures regarding all aspects of the loss prevention program.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

		x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
		x	Financial or performance audit used
		x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
		x	Act 160 Reports used
x			Other analysis or evaluation tools used
		x	Impact on other strategies considered
		x	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
		Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
	x	Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
	x	Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Administration

**Objective:** To ensure that at least 95% of all department objectives are achieved.

**Strategy:** Plan and prioritize for the allocation of financial resources.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
x	Financial or performance audit used
x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
x	Act 160 Reports used
x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x	Impact on other strategies considered
x	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x	Authorization exists
	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x	Resource needs identified
	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x	Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x	Already ongoing
x	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Administration**

**Objective:**    **To ensure that at least 95% of all department objectives are achieved.**

**Strategy:**     **Encourage staff empowerment and teamwork.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
x	Financial or performance audit used
x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
x	Act 160 Reports used
x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x	Impact on other strategies considered
x	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x	Authorization exists
	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x	Resource needs identified
	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x	Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x	Already ongoing
	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Administration**

**Objective:**    **To ensure that at least 95% of all department objectives are achieved.**

**Strategy:**     **Promote partnerships and collaboration with other state agencies and other entities.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Cost/benefit analysis conducted                 |
| x | Financial or performance audit used             |
| x | Benchmarking for best management practices used |
| x | Act 160 Reports used                            |
| x | Other analysis or evaluation tools used         |
| x | Impact on other strategies considered           |
| x | Stakeholders identified and involved            |

### Authorization:

- |   |                      |
|---|----------------------|
| x | Authorization exists |
|   | Authorization needed |

### Organization Capacity:

- |   |  |
|---|--|
| x | Needed structural or procedural changes identified |
| x | Resource needs identified                          |
|   | Strategies developed to implement needed changes   |
| x | Responsibility assigned                            |

### Time Frame:

- |   |                                 |
|---|---------------------------------|
| x | Already ongoing                 |
|   | Lifetime of strategy identified |

### Fiscal Impact:

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| x | Impact on operating budget                      |
| x | Impact on capital outlay budget                 |
| x | Means of Finance identified                     |
| x | Return on investment determined to be favorable |

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Administration**

**Objective:**    **To ensure that at least 95% of all department objectives are achieved.**

**Strategy:**     **Be responsive to the needs of all external stakeholders.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
x	Financial or performance audit used
x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
x	Act 160 Reports used
x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x	Impact on other strategies considered
x	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x	Authorization exists
	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x	Resource needs identified
	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x	Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x	Already ongoing
	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** ENFORCEMENT

**Objective:** Achieve a 2% reduction in the number of boating accidents per 100,000 registered boats.

**Strategy:** Increase High Visibility Patrols In Areas Where Accident Rates Are Highest

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** ENFORCEMENT

**Objective:** Achieve a 2% reduction in the number of boating accidents per 100,000 registered boats.

**Strategy:** Increase Availability and Delivery of Boating Education Courses

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** ENFORCEMENT

**Objective:** Achieve a 2% reduction in the number of boating accidents per 100,000 registered boats.

**Strategy:** Increase Agent Training ins Boating While Intoxicated Detection and Apprehension Techniques

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** ENFORCEMENT

**Objective:** To Increase Public Awareness And Compliance By Increasing The Number Of Public Contacts By Wildlife Agents By 1% Per Year.

**Strategy:** Maintain an Adequate Level of Staffing

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** ENFORCEMENT

**Objective:** To Increase Public Awareness And Compliance By Increasing The Number Of Public Contacts By Wildlife Agents By 1% Per Year.

**Strategy:** Decrease Administrative Time To Devote More Time To Field Duties

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**     **Participate in trade shows and generate trade leads.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct product promotions and special events.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Implement educational programs such as television broadcasts, study units for teachers, and displays at museums.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Implement educational programs such as television broadcasts, study units for teachers, and displays at museums.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct advertising campaigns that include ads in print and electronic media with national, regional, and local distribution.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Educate state and national legislators about industry uses.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

		x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
		x	Financial or performance audit used
		x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
		x	Act 160 Reports used
		x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x			Impact on other strategies considered
x			Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Implement campaigns on "tag lines".**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**     **Issue news releases and radio announcements statewide and nationally.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Improve the quality and usefulness of the program's web site.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Continue the weekly television show which spotlights LA seafood products.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the total economic impact of the seafood industry on the state's economy from the 2003 base year by an average of 1% real growth annually over a five year period.**

**Strategy:**      **Engage in partnerships with other state agencies and trade associations for promotional opportunities.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Participate in trade shows and generate trade leads.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct product promotions and special events.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Implement educational programs such as television broadcasts, study units for teachers, and displays at museums.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Seafood Promotion and Marketing

**Objective:** Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.

**Strategy:** Conduct advertising campaigns that include ads in print and electronic media with national, regional, and local distribution.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Educate state and national legislators about industry issues.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Seafood Promotion and Marketing

**Objective:** Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.

**Strategy:** Implement campaigns on “tag lines”.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

		x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
		x	Financial or performance audit used
		x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
		x	Act 160 Reports used
		x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x			Impact on other strategies considered
x			Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Issue news releases and radio announcements statewide and nationally.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Improve the quality and usefulness of the program's web site.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**      **Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Continue the weekly television show which spotlights LA seafood products.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Seafood Promotion and Marketing

**Objective:** Through cooperative projects with the Southern Shrimp Alliance, the average dockside price of all sizes of shrimp per pound will be increased by 15 cents over the 2002 price of \$1.31 by the 2006 fiscal year.

**Strategy:** Engage in partnerships with other state agencies and trade associations for promotional opportunities.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Participate in trade shows and generate trade leads.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Conduct product promotions and special events.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Seafood Promotion and Marketing

**Objective:** To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.

**Strategy:** Implement educational programs such as television broadcasts, study units for teachers, and displays at museums.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Seafood Promotion and Marketing

**Objective:** To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.

**Strategy:** Conduct advertising campaigns that include ads in print and electronic media with national, regional, and local distribution.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Educate state and national legislators about industry issues.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**      **Implement campaigns on “tag lines”.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**     **Issue news releases and radio announcements statewide and nationally.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**     **Improve the quality and usefulness of the program's web site.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**      **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**     **Continue the weekly television show which spotlights LA seafood products.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Seafood Promotion and Marketing**

**Objective:**    **To increase the average dockside price per pound of oysters by 13 cents by the 2006 fiscal year.**

**Strategy:**     **Engage in partnerships with other state agencies and trade associations for promotional opportunities.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
	x	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
	x	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
x		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**    **To manage the 0.9 million acres in the non-coastal WMA system through maintenance and habitat management activities.**

**Strategy:**     **Annually assess and implement management plans developed for each non-coastal Wildlife Management Area.**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
	x	Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program: Wildlife**

**Objective: To manage the 0.9 million acres in the non-coastal WMA system through maintenance and habitat management activities.**

**Strategy: Develop and maintain partnerships with various state and federal agencies as well as conservation organizations, private businesses, and individuals to facilitate management programs.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

x		Impact on operating budget
x		Impact on capital outlay budget
x		Means of Finance identified
x		Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program:**      **Wildlife**

**Objective:**    **Enhance wildlife habitat on private lands and non-Department public lands by providing 15,000 wildlife management technical assistance responses to the public and other agencies/NGOs.**

**Strategy:**     **Maintain a well-trained biological staff.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
	x	Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program: Wildlife**

**Objective: Enhance wildlife habitat on private lands and non-Department public lands by providing 15,000 wildlife management technical assistance responses to the public and other agencies/NGOs.**

**Strategy: Serve on technical advisory committees of state and federal agencies as well as NGOs that influence land management practices.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program: Wildlife**

**Objective: Manage wildlife populations for sustainable harvest and/or other recreational opportunities through survey and research resulting in 211 days of hunting opportunity for game species.**

**Strategy: Develop and maintain a tracking system of survey/research projects on WMAs and off WMAs when through Division cooperative/collaborative endeavors.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** Manage wildlife populations for sustainable harvest and/or other recreational opportunities through survey and research resulting in 211 days of hunting opportunity for game species.

**Strategy:** Annually develop hunting seasons, bag limits, and regulations that provide optimal opportunity and sustainable game populations.

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

x	Impact on operating budget
x	Impact on capital outlay budget
x	Means of Finance identified
x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **Conduct educational programs to reach 45,000 participants and respond to 80,000 general information questions from the public annually.**

**Strategy:**     **Maintained a well-trained full-time education staff.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
	x	Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**    **Conduct educational programs to reach 45,000 participants and respond to 80,000 general information questions from the public annually.**

**Strategy:**     **Maintain well-trained volunteer hunter and aquatic instructors.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
	x	Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable



**Program: Wildlife**

**Objective: Conduct educational programs to reach 45,000 participants and respond to 80,000 general information questions from the public annually.**

**Strategy: Develop new and/or update existing educational programs to increase the public's awareness and knowledge of the state's diverse natural resources.**

Yes

No

Analysis:

	x	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	x	Financial or performance audit used
	x	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	x	Act 160 Reports used
x		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
x		Impact on other strategies considered
x		Stakeholders identified and involved

Authorization:

x		Authorization exists
	x	Authorization needed

Organization Capacity:

x		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
x		Resource needs identified
x		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
x		Responsibility assigned

Time Frame:

x		Already ongoing
	x	Lifetime of strategy identified

Fiscal Impact:

	x	Impact on operating budget
	x	Impact on capital outlay budget
	x	Means of Finance identified
	x	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the alligator resource of the state to provide species protection and to authorize the sustainable harvest of wild alligators.

**Strategy:** Conduct population inventory surveys to assess population trends and distribution.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the alligator resource of the state to provide species protection and to authorize the sustainable harvest of wild alligators.

**Strategy:** Recommend and implement harvest seasons, quotas and regulations to provide for the sustainable harvest of wild alligators and wild alligator eggs.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the alligator resource of the state to provide species protection and to authorize the sustainable harvest of wild alligators.

**Strategy:** Conduct the necessary activities to manage the farm/ranching program and wild alligator egg collection program to ensure adequate controls to protect the wild alligator resource.

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Analysis:</u>
	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved
		<u>Authorization:</u>
X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed
		<u>Organization Capacity:</u>
X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned
		<u>Time Frame:</u>
X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified
		<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>
	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the alligator resource of the state to provide species protection and to authorize the sustainable harvest of wild alligators.

**Strategy:** Conduct various research and monitoring activities to provide an adequate database of information to manage the wild alligator population.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the furbearer resources of the state to provide for species protection, sustainable harvest and through the Coastwide Nutria Control Program provide incentive payments for nutria harvest to reduce the negative impact of this non-native species on coastal wetland habitats.

**Strategy:** Recommend and implement harvest seasons and regulations to provide for the harvest of all furbearers.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the furbearer resources of the state to provide for species protection, sustainable harvest and through the Coastwide Nutria Control Program provide incentive payments for nutria harvest to reduce the negative impact of this non-native species on coastal wetland habitats.

**Strategy:** Conduct the necessary activities to administer the Coastwide Nutria Control Program, meeting all requirements set forth by the CWPPRA Task Force.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To manage the furbearer resources of the state to provide for species protection, sustainable harvest and through the Coastwide Nutria Control Program provide incentive payments for nutria harvest to reduce the negative impact of this non-native species on coastal wetland habitats.

**Strategy:** Conduct an annual coastwide survey to assess the impact of nutria on coastal wetland habitats.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To perform habitat management, maintenance, and monitoring activities to conserve 556,714 acres in the coastal Wildlife Management Area (WMA) and Refuge system for fish and wildlife populations and associated recreational and commercial opportunities.

**Strategy:** Assess, conduct, monitor, and implement marsh management activities on the coastal Wildlife Management Areas and Refuges.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To perform habitat management, maintenance, and monitoring activities to conserve 556,714 acres in the coastal Wildlife Management Area (WMA) and Refuge system for fish and wildlife populations and associated recreational and commercial opportunities.

**Strategy:** Assess, monitor, and implement development activities on the coastal Wildlife Management Areas and Refuges.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To perform habitat management, maintenance, and monitoring activities to conserve 556,714 acres in the coastal Wildlife Management Area (WMA) and Refuge system for fish and wildlife populations and associated recreational and commercial opportunities.

**Strategy:** Develop and maintain partnerships with various state and federal agencies as well as conservation groups to facilitate management programs.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Wildlife

**Objective:** To perform habitat management, maintenance, and monitoring activities to conserve 556,714 acres in the coastal Wildlife Management Area (WMA) and Refuge system for fish and wildlife populations and associated recreational and commercial opportunities.

**Strategy:** Provide recreational and commercial opportunities on coastal Wildlife Management Areas and Refuges.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To promote & monitor the relative occurrence of Louisiana's rare, threatened and endangered species, as well as, non-game & injured wildlife by entering 400 site occurrences of species in a data base; and by managing 60 nuisance wildlife control operators and 50 wildlife rehabilitators.**

**Strategy:**     **To annually enter new or updated Element Occurrence Records (EORs) in our non-game, rare, threatened and endangered species data base. An EOR is a single record showing the location and status of one of the species of concern in Louisiana**

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Analysis:</u>
	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved
		<u>Authorization:</u>
X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed
		<u>Organization Capacity:</u>
	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
	X	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned
		<u>Time Frame:</u>
X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified
		<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>
	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To promote & monitor the relative occurrence of Louisiana's rare, threatened and endangered species, as well as, non-game & injured wildlife by entering 400 site occurrences of species in a data base; and by managing 60 nuisance wildlife control operators and 50 wildlife rehabilitators.**

**Strategy:**     **To manage and issue permits for nuisance wildlife control operators and wildlife rehabilitators**

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Analysis:</u>
	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved
		<u>Authorization:</u>
X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed
		<u>Organization Capacity:</u>
	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned
		<u>Time Frame:</u>
X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified
		<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>
	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To promote & monitor the relative occurrence of Louisiana's rare, threatened and endangered species, as well as, non-game & injured wildlife by entering 400 site occurrences of species in a data base; and by managing 60 nuisance wildlife control operators and 50 wildlife rehabilitators.**

**Strategy:**     **Issue Scientific Collecting Permits for wildlife species**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To promote & monitor the relative occurrence of Louisiana's rare, threatened and endangered species, as well as, non-game & injured wildlife by entering 400 site occurrences of species in a data base; and by managing 60 nuisance wildlife control operators and 50 wildlife rehabilitators.**

**Strategy:**     **Issue Scientific Collecting Permits for wildlife species**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To avoid or minimize adverse environmental impacts to fish and wildlife habitat from/by statewide development projects by reviewing, commenting, on making recommendations on 100 percent of the wetland permit applications received and issuing Scenic River Permits as required by statute**

**Strategy:**     **Receive, log in, review and comment on, all notices from wetland regulatory agencies, and make recommendations for mitigation actions designed to avoid, reduce, or compensate for damages to fish and wildlife resources and habitat**

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Analysis:</u>
	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved
		<u>Authorization:</u>
X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed
		<u>Organization Capacity:</u>
	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned
		<u>Time Frame:</u>
X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified
		<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>
	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To avoid or minimize adverse environmental impacts to fish and wildlife habitat from/by statewide development projects by reviewing, commenting, on making recommendations on 100 percent of the wetland permit applications received and issuing Scenic River Permits as required by statute**

**Strategy:**     **Work with federal and state regulatory agencies on major projects, which impact fish and wildlife resources and habitat by conducting joint habitat evaluations with those agencies, and formulating mitigation recommendations, which will be mandatory**

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Analysis:</u>
	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved
		<u>Authorization:</u>
X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed
		<u>Organization Capacity:</u>
	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned
		<u>Time Frame:</u>
X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified
		<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>
	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To avoid or minimize adverse environmental impacts to fish and wildlife habitat from/by statewide development projects by reviewing, commenting, on making recommendations on 100 percent of the wetland permit applications received and issuing Scenic River Permits as required by statute.**

**Strategy:**     **Assist in the development and operation of mitigation banks**

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:**     **Wildlife**

**Objective:**     **To avoid or minimize adverse environmental impacts to fish and wildlife habitat from/by statewide development projects by reviewing, commenting, on making recommendations on 100 percent of the wetland permit applications received and issuing Scenic River Permits as required by statute**

**Strategy:**     **Monitor the State's Natural and Scenic River System to prevent unauthorized uses, initiate enforcement and corrective action against unauthorized activities, and to control impacts to the streams through the established permitting system, which includes mitigation requirements**

<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Analysis:</u>
	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
X		Financial or performance audit used
X		Benchmarking for best management practices used
X		Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved
		<u>Authorization:</u>
X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed
		<u>Organization Capacity:</u>
	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned
		<u>Time Frame:</u>
X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified
		<u>Fiscal Impact:</u>
	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Ensure that Louisiana's major marine fish stocks are not overfished.

**Strategy:** Enhance the collection of biological and environmental data associated with marine fish and habitat resources from the State's major coastal bay systems and territorial sea.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Ensure that Louisiana's major marine fish stocks are not overfished.

**Strategy:** Develop indices of abundance for age-based stock assessments using enhanced fishery independent data.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Ensure that Louisiana's major marine fish stocks are not overfished.

**Strategy:** Improve the accuracy of and develop new stock assessments by enhancing fishery dependent information collected from the harvesters of the resource to develop area specific harvest.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Ensure that Louisiana's major marine fish stocks are not overfished.

**Strategy:** Prepare and update management plans for major marine species to keep up-to-date with new research findings to improve the accuracy and develop new stock assessments.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Ensure that Louisiana's major marine fish stocks are not overfished.

**Strategy:** Coordinate management of interjurisdictional fisheries with the other Gulf States and Federal government to improve our collective knowledge of species of concern and to continue the development of innovative stock assessments techniques.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Ensure that Louisiana's major marine fish stocks are not overfished.

**Strategy:** Prepare recommendations to the Louisiana Wildlife and Fisheries Commission and the Louisiana Legislature; promulgate, administer, and enforce rules and regulations as provided for in law; and administer statutorily authorized permit programs.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Administer a leasing system for oyster waterbottoms such that 99% of all leases result in no legal challenges related to the leasing system and manage public reefs to fulfill 100% of the industry's seed oyster demand and make at least one area available for sack oyster harvest on the public seed grounds.

**Strategy:** Administer a harvest area grid system for oyster lease production information and collect production information from leaseholders.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Administer a leasing system for oyster waterbottoms such that 99% of all leases result in no legal challenges related to the leasing system and manage public reefs to fulfill 100% of the industry's seed oyster demand and make at least one area available for sack oyster harvest on the public seed grounds.

**Strategy:** Streamline processing procedures for increased numbers of renewal lease applications expected because of coastal restoration restrictions on lease time periods.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** Administer a leasing system for oyster waterbottoms such that 99% of all leases result in no legal challenges related to the leasing system and manage public reefs to fulfill 100% of the industry's seed oyster demand and make at least one area available for sack oyster harvest on the public seed grounds.

**Strategy:** Manage and maintain the public reefs to produce seed and sacking oysters.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To conserve, protect, manage, and improve Louisiana's marine and coastal habitats by participating in 15 major coastal protection/improvement projects.

**Strategy:** Conserve, protect, restore, and enhance marine fish habitat in cooperation with other state and federal agencies that share public trust responsibilities for those resources.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To conserve, protect, manage, and improve Louisiana's marine and coastal habitats by participating in 15 major coastal protection/improvement projects.

**Strategy:** Remove derelict crab traps from coastal waters.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To conserve, protect, manage, and improve Louisiana's marine and coastal habitats by participating in 15 major coastal protection/improvement projects.

**Strategy:** Monitor activities of seismic exploration companies to ensure compliance with rules and environmental protection.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To conserve, protect, manage, and improve Louisiana's marine and coastal habitats by participating in 15 major coastal protection/improvement projects.

**Strategy:** Administer the Louisiana Artificial Reef Program to provide hard-bottom habitat for marine fishes using obsolete oil and gas platforms.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To conserve, protect, manage, and improve Louisiana's marine and coastal habitats by participating in 15 major coastal protection/improvement projects.

**Strategy:** Enhance the collection of data associated with marine fish and habitat resources from the State's major coastal bay systems and territorial sea.

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
	X	Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

	X	Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres.

**Strategy:** Enhance the collection of fishery information from major freshwater lakes

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
	X	Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres

**Strategy:** Supplement public waters with sport fish and species of concern in support of management plans

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres

**Strategy:** Ensure that aquacultural activities result in no adverse effects upon native fish populations in Louisiana

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres

**Strategy:** Coordinate management of interjurisdictional fisheries with the other Gulf states and Federal government

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
	X	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

	X	Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
	X	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
	X	Means of Finance identified
		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres

**Strategy:** Administer statutorily authorized permit programs

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
	X	Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres

**Strategy:** Develop management plans for any aquatic resources that may be of special concern

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable



## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To ensure that all species of sport and commercial freshwater fish are in good condition in at least 91% of all public lakes over 500 acres

**Strategy:** Increase angler participation by providing technical assistance to private pond owners

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To treat at least 72,000 acres of waterbodies to control nuisance aquatic vegetation

**Strategy:** Determine statewide infestations of problematic aquatic plants (water hyacinth, hydrilla, salvinia, alligator weed, etc.)

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To treat at least 72,000 acres of waterbodies to control nuisance aquatic vegetation

**Strategy:** Investigate aquatic vegetation infestations in selected public lakes

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
	X	Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To treat at least 72,000 acres of waterbodies to control nuisance aquatic vegetation

**Strategy:** Perform maintenance and control operations

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
X		Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
	X	Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To increase the number boat ramps improved or constructed from an average of 2 per year to an average of 4 per year by 2009/2010

**Strategy:** Increase local government awareness of Department's boating access project through direct correspondence

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To increase the number boat ramps improved or constructed from an average of 2 per year to an average of 4 per year by 2009/2010

**Strategy:** Reduce time for project initiation by increasing information to project sponsors

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable

## STRATEGY ANALYSIS CHECKLIST

**Program:** Fisheries

**Objective:** To increase the number boat ramps improved or constructed from an average of 2 per year to an average of 4 per year by 2009/2010

**Strategy:** Closely monitor Federal funds available for projects to maximize utilization of boating access funds

Yes

No

### Analysis:

	X	Cost/benefit analysis conducted
	X	Financial or performance audit used
	X	Benchmarking for best management practices used
	X	Act 160 Reports used
X		Other analysis or evaluation tools used
X		Impact on other strategies considered
X		Stakeholders identified and involved

### Authorization:

X		Authorization exists
	X	Authorization needed

### Organization Capacity:

X		Needed structural or procedural changes identified
X		Resource needs identified
X		Strategies developed to implement needed changes
X		Responsibility assigned

### Time Frame:

X		Already ongoing
	X	Lifetime of strategy identified

### Fiscal Impact:

X		Impact on operating budget
X		Impact on capital outlay budget
X		Means of Finance identified
X		Return on investment determined to be favorable